

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. DAVI109.001APC 09/674,142 01/23/2001 2880 Edwin Lorenzo Bogatez **EXAMINER** 20995 7590 05/13/2005 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP KIM, EUGENE LEE 2040 MAIN STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 3721

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9	P
v	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	09/674,142	BOGATEZ, EDWIN LORENZO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eugene L Kim	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7-11 and 15-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 8</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,7,9-11 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment/c\			
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 1	atent Application (PTO-152)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/674,142

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 11, it is unclear as to what "in situ" is referring to. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 2, 7, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Szabo (#4,191,232). Szabo shows the method of attaching a flexible cord handle comprising: forming at least one aperture 6, passing an end of a cord 11, 11' having an aglet 15 which is shaped at an angle so the aglet can not pass through the aperture.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/674,142

Art Unit: 3721

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szabo in view of Szabo in view of Gatward (#2,992,768). Szabo does not show a disc or washer as claimed; however, Gatward teaches the concept of using a washer 13 as claimed for reinforcement purposes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Szabo with washer means as taught by Gatward for reinforcing purposes.
- 6. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bogatz (#WO97/48550). Bogatz shows an obstruction member 50 which defines a cord receiving cavity to engage a cord and material that is suitable for bonding the cord to the obstruction member, such as, adhesive or direct molding (top of p. 6). The cord is deformed to be held in place as shown in the figures by the configuration of the obstruction means 50 in figs 3-6. Bogatz fails to show the obstruction member bonding to an aglet of a cord. However, The examiner notes that expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim. See ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd App. 1969). Furthermore, inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims. In re Young, 75 F.2d 996, 25 USPQ 69

Application/Control Number: 09/674,142

Art Unit: 3721

(CCPA 1935) (as restated in In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Arney shows an aglet attached to a cord.
- 8. Claims 1 and 8 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene L Kim whose telephone number is 571 272-4463. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene L Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721